

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/726,949	BELALCAZAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Apanius	3736

All Participants:

(1) Michael Apanius.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Craige Thompson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

7,22,26,27,36,37 and 41

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed amendments were discussed. The amendments that were agreed to are made via the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)